
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

David Phillip Vallejos

v.

PLAINTIFF(S)

Rob Bonta et al.

DEFENDANT(S)

CASE NUMBER

5:25-cv-00350-KK-SP

**ORDER ON REQUEST TO PROCEED
IN FORMA PAUPERIS
(NON-PRISONER CASE)**

The Court has reviewed the Request to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (the "Request") and the documents submitted with it. On the question of indigency, the Court finds that the party who filed the Request:

- ☐ is not able to pay the filing fees. ☒ is able to pay the filing fees.
- ☐ has not submitted enough information for the Court to tell if the filer is able to pay the filing fees. This is what is missing:

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- ☐ The Request is GRANTED.
- ☐ Ruling on the Request is POSTPONED for 30 days so that the filer may provide additional information.
- ☒ The Request is DENIED because the filer has the ability to pay.
- ☐ As explained in the attached statement, the Request is DENIED because:
- ☐ The District Court lacks ☐ subject matter jurisdiction ☐ removal jurisdiction.
 - ☐ The action is frivolous or malicious.
 - ☐ The action fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
 - ☐ The action seeks monetary relief against defendant(s) immune from such relief.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

- ☒ Within 30 days of the date of this Order, the filer must do the following:

Pay the filing fee in full.

If the filer does not comply with these instructions within 30 days, this case will be DISMISSED without prejudice.

- ☐ As explained in the attached statement, because it is absolutely clear that the deficiencies in the complaint cannot be cured by amendment, this case is hereby DISMISSED ☐ WITHOUT PREJUDICE ☐ WITH PREJUDICE.
- ☐ This case is REMANDED to state court as explained in the attached statement.

February 12, 2025

Date


Hon. Kenly Kiya Kato, United States District Judge